

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/19/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/748,976	12/29/2003	Anthony Joonkyoo Yun	PALO-004	8822
24353	7590 09/19/2006		EXAMINER	
BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP			KAHELIN, MICHAEL WILLIAM	
1900 UNIVER SUITE 200	SITY AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ALTO, CA 94303		3762	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\sim			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/748,976	YUN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Kahelin	3762				
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address	s			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 33 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUN 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lation. ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this community ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>10 July 2006</u> .					
/-						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 17-38</u> is/are pending	in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-14 and 21	4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-14 and 21-38 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 17-20</u> is/are rejected	l.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the E						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a						
Applicant may not request that any objection			40471)			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner. Note the attache	30 Office Action of John PTO-1	JZ.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority do		A P At At .				
2. Certified copies of the priority do			20			
3. Copies of the certified copies of		n received in this National Stag	ye			
application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action f		nt received				
See the attached detailed Office action i	or a list of the defined depice the					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		f Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/748,976 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1, 4, 7, 9, 10, 17, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rezai (US 2005/0065574, hereinafter "Rezai") in view of Verrier et al. (US 5,437,285, hereinafter "Verrier"). Please note that Examiner has confirmed "Table II" of Rezai's disclosure is supported by its presentation in PCT/US03/02847, which is currently unpublished.
- 4. In regards to claims 1, 17, and 18, Rezai discloses modulating a portion of the autonomic nervous system of a female subject (par. 0005), is capable of increasing the

Application/Control Number: 10/748,976

Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

sympathetic activity/parasympathetic activity ratio of the subject, and is capable of treating a fertility condition (Table II, line 1 indicates "infertility" and "irregular/painful menses", which means the device is applied to a female). For evidence that hypothalamus stimulation will result in increasing the said ratio, please refer to US 4,339,384 (Maillard et al.), column 8, line 34. Additionally, any stimulation of the autonomic system that increases the ratio of sympathetic activity to parasympathetic activity will inherently treat a fertility condition. Further, Rezai discloses a closed-loop feedback mechanism (par. 0047) that will determine a variable (from the sensor) before, during, and after modulation because it is running in a loop fashion. Rezai does not disclose that the variable is the ratio of sympathetic activity to parasympathetic activity. Verrier teaches of an ANS sensing system wherein the ratio of sympathetic activity to parasympathetic activity (col. 7, line 21) is determined to sense the vulnerability of the organ innervated by the ANS (col. 6, line 3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Rezai's invention by determining the ratio of sympathetic activity to parasympathetic activity to sense the vulnerability of the organ innervated by the ANS.

- 5. In regards to claims 4 and 10, the increase in the ratio comprises increasing sympathetic activity (see Maillard).
- 6. In regards to claim 7, the modulation is localized (Fig. 1).
- 7. In regards to claim 9, electrical energy is applied to the autonomic nervous system (abstract). Since the hypothalamus controls the autonomic nervous system, Examiner is interpreting the hypothalamus to be part of the ANS.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,976

Art Unit: 3762

- 8. In regards to claim 20, the fertility condition is infertility (Table II, line 1).
- 9. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by Rezai or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Rezai in view of Bothe Loncar et al. (US 2002/0188336, hereinafter "Bothe"). Rezai discloses the essential features of the claimed invention, including providing stimulation continuously (par. 0042), which would inherently provide modulation during the luteal phase. Alternatively, Rezai does not explicitly specify performing modulation during the luteal phase of the menstrual cycle. Bothe teaches of providing ANS modulation during the luteal phase of the menstrual cycle (par. 0264) to enhance the functions of the specific phase where pregnancy occurs. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Rezai's invention by modulating the ANS during the luteal phase to enhance the functions of the specific phase where pregnancy occurs.
- 10. Claims 5, 6 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rezai in view of Whitehurst et al. (US 6,832,114, hereinafter "Whitehurst"). Rezai discloses the essential features of the claimed invention except for modifying the ratio by decreasing parasympathetic activity or increasing sympathetic activity and decreasing parasympathetic activity. Whitehurst teaches of ANS modulation achieved by inhibiting parasympathetic stimulation and/or activating sympathetic stimulation (col. 18, line 60) to more accurately modulate the innervated organ. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Rezai's invention by providing ANS modulation by inhibiting parasympathetic

Application/Control Number: 10/748,976 Page 5

Art Unit: 3762

stimulation and/or activating sympathetic stimulation to more accurately modulate the innervated organ.

- 11. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rezai in view of Mann et al. (US 2002/0055761, hereinafter "Mann"). Rezai discloses the essential features of the claimed invention except for stimulating the pelvic nerve. Mann teaches of stimulating a pelvic nerve (par. 0076) to more locally treat a fertility condition so as to not affect other systems of the body (abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Rezai's invention by stimulating a pelvic nerve to more locally treat a fertility condition so as to not affect other systems of the body.
- 12. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rezai in view of Khan et al. (US 2002/0064501, hereinafter "Khan"). Rezai discloses the essential features of the claimed invention except for determining the ratio of Th1 to Th2. Khan teaches of regulating the Th1/Th2 ratio to facilitate fertility where improved implantation is required. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Rezai's invention by determining the ratio of Th1 to Th2 to further facilitate fertility.

Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments, see "Remarks", filed 7/10/2006, with respect to the rejection of claim 19 under 35 USC 112(2) have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 112(2) rejection of claim 19 has been withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,976 Page 6

Art Unit: 3762

Applicant's arguments filed 7/10/2006 with respect to the art rejections of claims 14. 1-14 and 17-20 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argued that the combination of Rezai and Verrier fails to render obvious amended claim 1 because Verrier is lacking the step of determining the sympathetic activity / parasympathetic activity ratio prior to modulation and then performing the modulation based on the determined ratio. However, Verrier was not relied upon for this teaching, but merely the teaching of determining the ratio of sympathetic/parasympathetic activity as an indication of organ vulnerability as disclosed beginning at col. 7, line 21 of Verrier (i.e. a ratio of the low frequency and high frequency component is formed). The step of determining activity prior to modulation and performing modulation based on the determined activity is disclosed by Rezai in paragraph 0047 (i.e. "the present invention contemplates the use of a closed-loop feedback mechanism in conjunction with chemical or electrical stimulation"). In other words, Rezai discloses the determining activity (such as those indicators listed in par. 0047) and performing modulation based on the activity steps, and Verrier is relied upon for the teaching of the sympathetic/parasympathetic ratio as an indicator.

Conclusion

15. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 3762

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Kahelin whose telephone number is (571) 272-8688. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



MWK 72/2/2/2/2/ 9/15/06